

## EXHIBIT 2

Basil P. Fthenakis, Esq. (88399)  
**CRITERION LAW**  
2225 E. Bayshore Road, Suite 200  
Palo Alto, California 94303  
Tel. (650) 352-8400  
Fax. (650) 352-8408  
[bpf@criterionlaw.com](mailto:bpf@criterionlaw.com)

Of counsel:

David S. Godkin  
James E. Kruzer  
BIRNBAUM & GODKIN, LLP  
280 Summer Street  
Boston, MA 02210  
(617) 307-6100  
[godkin@birnbaumgodkin.com](mailto:godkin@birnbaumgodkin.com)  
[kruzer@birnbaumgodkin.com](mailto:kruzer@birnbaumgodkin.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
SIX4THREE, LLC, a Delaware  
limited liability company

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SIX4THREE, LLC, a Delaware limited  
liability company, ) Case No.  
Plaintiff, )  
v. ) COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY  
FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation, ) JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE  
Defendant. ) RELIEF  
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

---

111

111

1

2

3 **PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

4 Plaintiff six4three, LLC (“643” or “Plaintiff”) brings this action for declaratory judgment  
 5 and injunctive relief against Defendant Facebook, Inc. (“Facebook” or “Defendant”).

6 **NATURE OF ACTION AND RELIEF SOUGHT**

7 1. This is a civil action for declaratory and injunctive relief to vindicate the rights of  
 8 643 in connection with a gripe site maintained at FacebooksAppEconomy.com (the “Site”).  
 9 FacebooksAppEconomy.com serves as an information resource for software developers, and in  
 10 particular small businesses, (“Developers”) that have been impacted by Facebook's unfair and  
 11 deceptive practices. Small businesses that have been impacted can use the website to sign up for  
 12 updates that follow the course of ongoing litigation and learn how they may protect their rights.

14 The Site also serves as a forum for increased public awareness of the harm caused by a  
 15 lack of regulation in application economies. This is an issue of great importance to the public, the  
 16 legal system and the global economy. Today, some of the largest economies in the world have  
 17 their rules made and monitored by companies who also get to play in the game. This aligns  
 18 incentives naturally toward anti-competitive behavior that detrimentally impacts both small  
 19 businesses and consumers.

21 Facebook's application economy in particular has experienced a series of anti-competitive  
 22 transfers of wealth. The Site focuses on a major one that impacted thousands of businesses,  
 23 people and families: the closing of the Open Graph announced on April 30, 2014 in which  
 24 Facebook gave itself a monopoly on building applications spanning numerous industries after  
 25 promising for years that Developers could build the same applications and compete on neutral  
 26 terms.

1                   The Site offers a proposal for regulating Facebook's \$227 billion economy in order to  
 2 prevent events like this from happening again. The Site's proposal seeks to establish a basic set  
 3 of rights and obligations for everyone participating in Facebook's application economy with the  
 4 goal of minimizing perverse incentives towards anti-competitive behavior, which harms the  
 5 public interest and threatens the long-term viability of the economy itself. In doing so, the Site  
 6 seeks to engage the public and legal community not only to support the proposal but also to  
 7 participate in its evolution.

8                   2.        643 is an image recognition software startup that built an application integrated  
 9 with Facebook's operating system, known as Facebook Platform. Like many Developers, 643  
 10 invested substantial sums of time, money and resources in building an application on Facebook  
 11 Platform. In doing so, 643 reasonably relied upon years of explicit promises from Facebook  
 12 regarding its commitment to neutrality, fairness and competition on Facebook Platform. On April  
 13 30, 2014, Facebook broke the promises it had made to Developers for many years and closed off  
 14 their access to many types of applications that they had already made substantial investments in  
 15 building and maintaining. Many companies were affected by this "bait and switch" tactic, and as  
 16 noted by *The Wall Street Journal*, a substantial number of these companies were forced to shut  
 17 down.<sup>1</sup>

18                   3.        In April 2015, 643 filed a complaint in the Superior Court of California, County  
 19 of San Mateo ("Ongoing Litigation"). In June 2016, the Court denied Facebook's demurrer as to  
 20 four causes of action promulgated by 643, including a cause of action under California's Unfair  
 21 Competition Law (UCL) and scheduled the matter for trial in Spring 2017. In a court-mandated  
 22 mediation on 8 November 2016, 643 proposed that Facebook implement a series of policy

---

23  
 24  
 25  
 26                   <sup>1</sup> See: "Facebook's Restrictions on User Data Cast a Long Shadow," *The Wall Street Journal*, at  
 27                   <http://www.wsj.com/articles/facebook-restrictions-on-user-data-cast-a-long-shadow-1442881332>

changes as part of a settlement proposal to promote fairness and competition in Facebook's application economy. Facebook refused to discuss these measures with 643. In an effort to raise awareness of this important issue of anti-competitive behavior in application ecosystems, 643 notified Facebook of the Site, including its intention to engage the developer community around this issue to garner support for its proposal.

4. On 11 November 2016, Facebook sent a Notice of Facebook Trademark Infringement to 643, attached hereto as Exhibit A. In its Notice, Facebook claims that 643's registration and use of the Site violates the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.*) and infringes and dilutes the famous Facebook trademark. The Notice requests that 643 remove the Site and let its domain registration lapse or Facebook "will have no choice but to pursue all available remedies against [643]". See Exhibit A.

5. 643 therefore brings this declaratory relief action to clarify the rights of the parties, and to refute the baseless assertions of trademark infringement and trademark dilution finally and definitively. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment holding that the Site does not infringe any trademarks held by Defendant and is protected by the Fair Use Doctrine, California's Anti-SLAPP Law (Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 425.16), and the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. 643 also seeks an injunction enjoining Defendant from any efforts to enforce any trademark in the Facebook trademark against 643 and the Site, including through the use of DMCA takedown notices, WIPO arbitration, or otherwise.

## THE PARTIES

6. Plaintiff 643 is a Delaware limited liability company with a principal place of business at 535 Mission Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco, California.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Facebook, Inc. is a Delaware Corporation with a principal place of business at One Hacker Way, Menlo Park, California.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

8. This is an action for declaratory judgment arising under the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1121, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 in that this Complaint raises federal questions arising under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125, arising out of interstate commerce.

9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because it resides in and is doing business in the State of California. Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privilege of conducting activities in this State and the Northern District. Defendant has sold billions of dollars of advertising in this State. Further, Defendant has intentionally engaged in acts targeted at the Northern District. Plaintiff resides in this District and manages the domain and the Site in this District. Defendant's false assertions of infringement and dilution were and are directed at Plaintiff in this District.

10.     Venue is proper in the Northern District of California under 28 U.S.C. § 1339(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims has occurred and will continue to occur in the Northern District of California.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. Plaintiff 643 is an image recognition software company that has alleged it was harmed by Defendant Facebook's unfair and deceptive business practices. 643 invested in software that can automatically search and organize photos based on their contents in order to provide a more advanced photo sharing service for Facebook's users. For years, Facebook

1 promised Developers like 643 access to Facebook user photos so long as the user and their  
 2 friends permitted Developers' applications to access them. On April 30, 2014, Facebook  
 3 removed Developer access to Facebook user photos. As a result, Facebook became the only  
 4 company that could intelligently search and sort Facebook user photos. 643 was forced to shut  
 5 down its initial application and lost its investment of time, capital and labor in building its initial  
 6 application. Many other small businesses were similarly impacted by Facebook's decision to  
 7 monopolize this market.  
 8

9       12. To raise awareness of this issue of important public interest regarding the lack of  
 10 regulation in one of the largest economies in the world, 643 created the Site. The Site is  
 11 evidently a gripe site and is entirely non-commercial in nature. The domain of the Site,  
 12 FacebooksAppEconomy.com, clearly does not communicate any intent to sell any good or  
 13 service.  
 14

15       13. The main navigation of the Site includes a "thumbs-down" image and the words  
 16 "Our Demands" and "Join Litigation". The primary content above the fold on the site is a call to  
 17 action to Facebook Developers to join an effort to increase awareness among lawmakers and  
 18 regulators, like the Federal Trade Commission, of the importance of this issue to small  
 19 businesses and consumers. Users of the Site can send a letter to the Federal Trade Commission  
 20 (FTC) and California Attorney General. Further, users can watch a documentary video  
 21 recounting the history of Facebook Platform, including Facebook's "bait and switch" behavior  
 22 that has harmed thousands of businesses, people and families.  
 23

24       14. The Site does not offer any good or service for sale, has no ability to take  
 25 payment information, and does not include or display any advertisements. 643 has no intention  
 26 of selling the domain or the Site. 643 is not profiting and will not profit from the Site as it has no  
 27

1 commercial purpose whatsoever and this lack of commercial purpose is evident prior to even  
 2 entering the Site. As such, it is hard to imagine how the Site could result in consumer confusion  
 3 or dilute the Facebook's trademark.

4 15. Rather, the Site addresses a matter of great public interest. It is estimated that  
 5 Facebook's application ecosystem produced \$227 billion of economic activity and supported 4.5  
 6 million jobs in 2014 alone.<sup>2</sup> This application ecosystem currently operates in the absence of  
 7 regulation. Facebook sets the rules of the game and is also one of its largest players. Facebook  
 8 has taken advantage of this fact to effectuate large transfers of wealth from small businesses and  
 9 consumers to itself by engaging in a series of "bait and switch" tactics that violate Section 5 of  
 10 the Federal Trade Commission Act (FTCA) and California's Unfair Competition Law (UCL).  
 11 Application ecosystems, or App Economies, are new and innovative industries critical to the  
 12 global economy as a whole.

14 16. The rule of law has yet to catch up to these innovative economies, but many in the  
 15 legal community have begun to take notice of the immense harm caused by a failure to regulate.  
 16 As recently as 19 October 2016, Representative Henry C. "Hank" Johnson, the Ranking Member  
 17 of the Antitrust Subcommittee of the House Judiciary Committee, called on the FTC to  
 18 investigate anti-competitive behavior in App Economies. Johnson wrote: "It is critical that we  
 19 promote and defend competition, even in markets that are highly innovative, to ensure that small  
 20 entrants aren't squeezed out through needlessly restrictive conditions and policies.... I strongly  
 21 encourage the FTC to give this issue the close attention that it deserves."<sup>3</sup> The Site serves a  
 22 critical function in exposing how App Economies are manipulated in the absence of regulation.

25 <sup>2</sup> See: "New Deloitte Report Looks at Facebook's Impact on Global Economy, Jobs," 20 January 2015, at  
 26 <http://newsroom.fb.com/news/2015/01/new-deloitte-report-looks-at-facebooks-impact-on-global-economy-jobs/>.  
 27 <sup>3</sup> See: "Johnson Leads Bipartisan Call for Investigation of Anticompetitive Practices in the App Marketplace," 19  
 28 October 2016, at <https://hankjohnson.house.gov/media-center/press-releases/johnson-leads-bipartisan-call-investigation-anticompetitive-practices>.

In doing so, the Site also identifies what we can do as a public and legal community to promote fairness and competition in these economies in order to ensure their long-term stability and protect businesses and consumers.

17. Upon information and belief, Facebook sent its Notice of Trademark Infringement to 643 with full knowledge of the intended purpose of the Site, a purpose that is evidently both non-commercial in nature and of great importance to the business and legal communities. Facebook knew of this intended purpose because 643 communicated it to Facebook in advance of and during a court-mandated mediation on 8 November 2016. As such, Facebook’s Notice was designed to create a “chilling effect” intended to suppress 643’s free speech and activities in furtherance of a matter of great importance to the public. The First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and California’s Anti-SLAPP law (Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 425.16) prohibit conduct intended to create this kind of “chilling effect” around issues of great public importance, particularly when the activities are in connection with a judicial proceeding and an overt campaign to raise awareness among lawmakers and regulators of a great injury to small software businesses founded and operating in the United States. In an effort to prevent 643 from raising awareness of this issue, Facebook has lashed out and accused 643 of trademark infringement and dilution. There exists a justiciable controversy regarding 643’s right to make a fair use of the Facebook trademark free of any allegations by Defendant that such conduct constitutes an infringement of any trademark owned by Defendant.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
(Declaratory Judgment – Non-Infringement of Trademark)

18. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each and every foregoing paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

19. An actual justiciable controversy exists as to whether the Site infringes any trademark owned by the Defendant.

20. Plaintiff is entitled to a declaratory judgment that its use of the Facebook trademark is protected by the Fair Use Doctrine, California's Anti-SLAPP Law and the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States.

**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**(Declaratory Judgment – Non-Dilution of Trademark)**

21. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each and every foregoing paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

22. An actual justiciable controversy exists as to whether the Site dilutes any trademark owned by the Defendant.

23. Plaintiff is entitled to a declaratory judgment that its use of the Facebook trademark is protected by the Fair Use Doctrine, California's Anti-SLAPP Law and the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States.

**THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
(Declaratory Judgment – Fair Use)

24. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each and every foregoing paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

25. An actual justiciable controversy exists as to whether Plaintiff's use of any materials to which Defendant holds trademark or copyright is protected by the Fair Use Doctrine.

26. Plaintiff is entitled to a declaratory judgment that its use of the Facebook trademark is protected by the Fair Use Doctrine, California's Anti-SLAPP Law and the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff seeks judgment awarding it the following relief:

- 1 a) An order declaring that Plaintiff does not infringe or dilute any valid trademark  
2 owned by Defendant;
- 3 b) An order declaring that any alleged use by Plaintiff of any materials to which  
4 Defendant holds trademark or copyright is privileged under, and protected by, the  
5 Fair Use Doctrine;
- 6 c) An order enjoining Defendant from asserting any alleged trademarks or  
7 copyrights against Plaintiff in connection with the Site and Site-related activities;
- 8 d) An order awarding attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in connection  
9 with this action to Plaintiff;
- 10 e) An order awarding such other and further relief as this Court deems just and  
11 proper.

14 **JURY DEMAND**

15 Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

18 DATED: November 21, 2016

CRITERION LAW

19 BIRNBAUM & GODKIN

20 By: 

21 Basil P. Fthenakis, Esq.  
22 David S. Godkin  
23 James E. Kruzer  
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
25 Six4Three, LLC

**Exhibit A**

**FWD: [facebookssappeconomy.com](http://facebookssappeconomy.com) - Notice of Facebook Trademark Infringement [facebookssappeconomy.com@domainsbyproxy.com] (FROM: enforcement@mm-facebook.com)**

To Whom It May Concern,

We are writing concerning your registration and use of the domain name [facebookssappeconomy.com](http://facebookssappeconomy.com), which contains the famous Facebook trademark.

As you undoubtedly know, Facebook is the leading online social network service. Facebook adopted the name and trademark Facebook in February 2004 and, since that time, Facebook has actively used the Facebook name and trademark in connection with its online social network service, including maintaining the web site [www.Facebook.com](http://www.Facebook.com). The term Facebook is one of the most famous trademarks on the Internet. Facebook owns exclusive trademark rights to the Facebook name as a result of numerous trademark registrations in the United States and internationally, as well as related common law rights. Accordingly, Facebook enjoys broad trademark rights in its name.

Facebook has made a substantial investment in developing and providing its services. As a result of Facebook's pioneering efforts and devoting substantial effort and resources to providing only high quality services, the Facebook name and trademarks are widely known among the consuming public worldwide, and the name and trademarks embody substantial and valuable goodwill.

Accordingly, we were concerned when we learned of your registration and use of [facebookssappeconomy.com](http://facebookssappeconomy.com). As we hope you can appreciate, protection of its trademarks is very important to Facebook. Your registration and use of [facebookssappeconomy.com](http://facebookssappeconomy.com) violates the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. 1051 et seq.) because it infringes and dilutes the famous Facebook trademark.

Infringement occurs when a third party's use of a company's trademark (or a confusingly similar variation thereof) is likely to confuse consumers as to the affiliation, sponsorship or endorsement of the third party's services. Trademark dilution occurs when a third party's use of a variation of a company's trademark is likely to lessen the distinctiveness of the company's famous trademark.

We have filed several proceedings before the United Nation's World Intellectual Property Organization's arbitration panel. Facebook has prevailed in each case and the domain names at issue were all ordered to be transferred to Facebook. Facebook is concerned that your unauthorized use of the Facebook name may cause confusion as to whether you or your company's activities are authorized, endorsed or sponsored by Facebook when, in fact, they are not.

We understand that you may have registered [facebookssappeconomy.com](http://facebookssappeconomy.com) without full knowledge of the law in this area. However, Facebook is concerned about your use of the Facebook trademark in your domain name. As you may know, the Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act provides for serious penalties (up to \$100,000 per domain name) against persons who, without authorization, use, sell, or offer for sale a domain name that infringes another's trademark.

While Facebook respects your right of expression and your desire to conduct business on the Internet, Facebook must enforce its own rights in order to protect its valuable and famous trademark. For these reasons, and to avoid consumer confusion, Facebook must insist that you immediately stop using [facebookssappeconomy.com](http://facebookssappeconomy.com) and disable any site available at that address. You should not sell, offer to sell, or transfer the domain name to a third party and should let the domain registration expire.

Please confirm in writing that you will agree to resolve this matter as requested. If we do not receive confirmation from you that you will comply with our request, we will have no choice but to pursue all available remedies against you.

Sincerely,

Ethel

## CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

## I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

SIX4THREE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff   
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Basil P. Flehmalis, Esq. (88399)  
CRITERION LAW  
2225 El Rayshore Road, Suite 200  
Palo Alto, California 94303  
Tel. (650) 352-8400  
Fax (650) 352-8408

## DEFENDANTS

FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant   
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF  
THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

## II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) |

## III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

| PTF  | DEF  | PTF                        | DEF                        |
|--|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Citizen of This State                   | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State     | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Citizen of Another State                | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Foreign Nation  | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

## IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

| CONTRACT   | TORTS  | FORFEITURE/PENALTY  | BANKRUPTCY   | OTHER STATUTES  |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance<br><input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine<br><input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument<br><input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits<br><input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)<br><input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits<br><input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits<br><input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract<br><input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise | <b>PERSONAL INJURY</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane<br><input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander<br><input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine<br><input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle<br><input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury<br><input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice | <b>PERSONAL INJURY</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability   | <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881<br><input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other   | <input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC § 158<br><input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157<br><b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights<br><input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent<br><input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation<br><input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure<br><input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment<br><input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land<br><input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability<br><input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property   | <b>REAL PROPERTY</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights<br><input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting<br><input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment<br><input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/ Accommodations<br><input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities- Employment<br><input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities- Other<br><input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education   | <b>CIVIL RIGHTS</b><br><b>PRISONER PETITIONS</b><br><b>Habeas Corpus:</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee<br><input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence<br><input type="checkbox"/> 530 General<br><input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other:<br><input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other<br><input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights<br><input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition<br><input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee- Conditions of Confinement | <b>LABOR</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations<br><input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation<br><input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act | <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395f)<br><input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923)<br><input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))<br><input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI<br><input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))  |
|  |  |   | <b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b><br><input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)<br><input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC § 7609   | <input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act<br><input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a))<br><input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment<br><input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust<br><input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking<br><input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce<br><input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation<br><input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations<br><input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit<br><input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV<br><input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange<br><input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions<br><input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts<br><input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters<br><input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act |
|  |  |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration<br><input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision<br><input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes   |

## V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

|  |   |  |   |  |  |   |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated or Reopened | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multidistrict Litigation-Transfer | <input type="checkbox"/> 8 Multidistrict Litigation-Direct File |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  
28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202

## VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Brief description of cause:  
Declaratory and injunctive relief action to clarify the rights of the parties and to refute baseless assertions of trademark infringement and trademark dilution finally and definitively.

## VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION  
UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P.

## DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND:  Yes  NoVIII. RELATED CASE(S),  
IF ANY (See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

## IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND  SAN JOSE  EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE

DATE: 11/21/2016

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD: